

**IN THE U. S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Kazutomo HOSHINO et al.

Conf. 7028

Application No. 10/528,389

Group 1792

Filed: March 18, 2005

Examiner Viji BERNARD

Title: JIG FOR CALCINING ELECTRONIC COMPONENT

**REQUEST FOR CORRECTED OFFICE ACTION**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

February 7, 2008

Sir:

The Office Action mailed January 28, 2008, requires correction, because it is incomprehensible as stated.

It is stated that claims 7-17 and 20-22 are allowed, but then, some of these are rejected on page 4 as unpatentable over JP 2002-128583 in view of Sato.

Then, on page 5, claims 10, 11 and 12 are rejected as unpatentable over JP 2002-128583 in view of Burdette. However, in the next line, claims 13 and 14 are discussed but not necessarily rejected; and at the bottom of page 6, claims 15 and 16 are discussed but not necessarily rejected.

We cannot tell which claims are rejected and which claims are allowed.

A clear statement of the grounds for rejection and the claims that are believed to be allowable, is respectfully requested, with a new period for response.

Respectfully submitted,

YOUNG & THOMPSON



---

Robert J. Patch, Reg. No. 17,355  
Customer No. 00466  
745 South 23<sup>rd</sup> Street  
Arlington, VA 22202  
Telephone (703) 521-2297  
Telefax (703) 685-0573  
(703) 979-4709

RJP/lad